UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

SYLVESTER E. HARDING, III,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No. 1:14CV321
MR. SUMMERS, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

<u>ORDER</u>

On June 13, 2014, the United States Magistrate Judge's Recommendation was filed and notice was served on the parties on June 13 and July 1, 2014, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Plaintiff filed objections [Doc. #10] to the Recommendation within the time limit prescribed by Section 636. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's objections *de novo* and finds they do not change the substance of the United States Magistrate Judge's Recommendation [Doc. #7], which is affirmed and adopted.

The Court notes that Plaintiff has filed an *in forma pauperis* application but has not cured the remaining defects noted in the Recommendation. If Plaintiff chooses to file a new action correcting the defects noted in the Recommendation, he should file a new application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, but may be required to pay some or all of the filing fee based on the assets shown.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is dismissed *sua sponte* without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a new complaint, on the proper § 1983 forms, which corrects the defects noted in the Recommendation.

This, the 8th day of August, 2014.

United States District Judg